

Exhibit G

From: [Christopher Clark](#)
To: [Wolf, Lesley \(USADE\)](#)
Cc: [Hudson, Carly \(USADE\)](#)
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL EMAIL] In re Grand Jury Subpoenas 19-3-LFWS-V-176 - 179 - CONFIDENTIAL
Date: Tuesday, May 30, 2023 10:14:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Lesley and Carly,

I hope you had a good weekend. Just checking in, it's been some time since we spoke.

Thanks,

Chris

Christopher J. Clark
Clark Smith Villazor LLP
250 West 55th Street, 30th Floor
New York, New York 10019
O (212) 582-4400 | D (212) 377-0853



From: Wolf, Lesley (USADE)
Sent: Tuesday, May 23, 2023 6:28 PM
To: Christopher Clark <clark@csvgllp.com>
Cc: Hudson, Carly (USADE)
Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL EMAIL] In re Grand Jury Subpoenas 19-3-LFWS-V-176 - 179 - CONFIDENTIAL

Just freeing up. Can you give me 5?

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Christopher Clark <clark@csvgllp.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 23, 2023 6:22:56 PM
To: Wolf, Lesley (USADE)
Cc: Hudson, Carly (USADE)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: [EXTERNAL EMAIL] In re Grand Jury Subpoenas 19-3-LFWS-V-176 - 179 - CONFIDENTIAL

I hate to pester but I think it would be beneficial if we could speak tonight. Please let me know.
Thanks.

Christopher Clark
Partner
Clark Smith Villazor LLP
250 West 55th Street, 30th Floor

New York, New York 10019
O:212-582-4400 D:212-377-0853

From: Christopher Clark <clark@csvgllp.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 23, 2023 5:56:05 PM
To: Wolf, Lesley (USADE)
Cc: Hudson, Carly (USADE)
Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL EMAIL] In re Grand Jury Subpoenas 19-3-LFWS-V-176 - 179 - CONFIDENTIAL

Ok. My client has asked that I speak to you further. Are you able to speak? I may have some slight flexibility.

Christopher Clark
Partner
Clark Smith Villazor LLP
250 West 55th Street, 30th Floor
New York, New York 10019
O:212-582-4400 D:212-377-0853

From: Wolf, Lesley (USADE)
Sent: Tuesday, May 23, 2023 5:31:54 PM
To: Christopher Clark <clark@csvgllp.com>
Cc: Hudson, Carly (USADE)
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL EMAIL] In re Grand Jury Subpoenas 19-3-LFWS-V-176 - 179 - CONFIDENTIAL

Chris,

I got your text message. As we indicated in our emails and discussions we did not have approval for a pre-trial diversion agreement. As you know, that authority rests with the US Attorney who ultimately did not approve continued discussions for diversion relating to the tax charges.

-Lesley

From: Christopher Clark <clark@csvgllp.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 20, 2023 1:13 PM
To: Wolf, Lesley (USADE)
Cc: Hudson, Carly (USADE)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: [EXTERNAL EMAIL] In re Grand Jury Subpoenas 19-3-LFWS-V-176 - 179 - CONFIDENTIAL

115p?

Christopher J. Clark
Clark Smith Villazor LLP
250 West 55th Street, 30th Floor
New York, New York 10019

O (212) 582-4400

www.csvllp.com

From: Wolf, Lesley (USADE)
Sent: Saturday, May 20, 2023 1:10:52 PM
To: Christopher Clark <clark@csvllp.com>
Cc: Hudson, Carly (USADE)
Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL EMAIL] In re Grand Jury Subpoenas 19-3-LFWS-V-176 - 179 - CONFIDENTIAL

Do you have a minute to talk? Will be very brief.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Christopher Clark <clark@csvllp.com>
Sent: Friday, May 19, 2023 6:48:56 PM
To: Wolf, Lesley (USADE) ; Timothy.McCarten@lw.com
<Timothy.McCarten@lw.com>; Hudson, Carly (USADE)
Cc: matthew.salerno@lw.com <matthew.salerno@lw.com>; Brian.McManus@lw.com
<Brian.McManus@lw.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: [EXTERNAL EMAIL] In re Grand Jury Subpoenas 19-3-LFWS-V-176 - 179 - CONFIDENTIAL

Thanks.

Christopher Clark
Partner
Clark Smith Villazor LLP
250 West 55th Street, 30th Floor
New York, New York 10019
O:212-582-4400 **D:**212-377-0853

From: Wolf, Lesley (USADE)
Sent: Friday, May 19, 2023 6:15:58 PM
To: Timothy.McCarten@lw.com <Timothy.McCarten@lw.com>; Hudson, Carly (USADE)
Cc: Christopher Clark <clark@csvllp.com>; matthew.salerno@lw.com <matthew.salerno@lw.com>;
Brian.McManus@lw.com <Brian.McManus@lw.com>
Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL EMAIL] In re Grand Jury Subpoenas 19-3-LFWS-V-176 - 179 - CONFIDENTIAL

Received. Thanks Tim.
We will take a look at this.

Lesley

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Timothy.McCarten@lw.com <Timothy.McCarten@lw.com>
Sent: Friday, May 19, 2023 5:09:35 PM
To: Wolf, Lesley (USADE) ; Hudson, Carly (USADE)
Cc: clark@csvllp.com <clark@csvllp.com>; matthew.salerno@lw.com <matthew.salerno@lw.com>; Brian.McManus@lw.com <Brian.McManus@lw.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: In re Grand Jury Subpoenas 19-3-LFWS-V-176 - 179 - CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT TO FRE 408, FRE 410, AND FED. R. CRIM. P. 11(f)

Lesley and Carly,

Further to your emails, attached please find a draft agreement for discussion.

We are sharing the enclosed draft in an attempt to advance our discussions about a potential non-trial resolution. Accordingly this communication and the enclosure are subject to Federal Rules of Evidence 408 and 410, as well as Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(f), and neither the content of the enclosed draft nor the fact of its transmission can be used to prove or disprove the validity of a disputed claim or to impeach Mr. Biden by a prior inconsistent statement or a contradiction. Mr. Biden does not intend to waive any such privileges in this transmission or in any other correspondence with the Department, and any such waivers should be construed as inadvertent.

Best,

Tim

Timothy H. McCarten

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

555 Eleventh Street, NW | Suite 1000 | Washington, D.C. 20004-1304
D: +1.202.637.1036

From: Christopher Clark <clark@csvllp.com>
Sent: Friday, May 19, 2023 8:59 AM
To: Wolf, Lesley (USADE)
Cc: Hudson, Carly (USADE) ; McCarten, Timothy (DC) <Timothy.McCarten@lw.com>; Salerno, Matthew (NY) <matthew.salerno@lw.com>; McManus, Brian (BN) <Brian.McManus@lw.com>
Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL EMAIL] RE: In re Grand Jury Subpoenas 19-3-LFWS-V-176 - 179 - CONFIDENTIAL

Thanks Lesley,

We will use this as a template and understand the points you raise and will address them.

Chris

Christopher Clark
Partner
Clark Smith Villazor LLP
250 West 55th Street, 30th Floor
New York, New York 10019
O:212-582-4400 D:212-377-0853

From: Wolf, Lesley (USADE)
Sent: Friday, May 19, 2023 8:52:15 AM
To: Christopher Clark <clark@csvllp.com>
Cc: Hudson, Carly (USADE) ; Timothy.McCarten@lw.com
<Timothy.McCarten@lw.com>; matthew.salerno@lw.com <matthew.salerno@lw.com>;
Brian.McManus@lw.com <Brian.McManus@lw.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL EMAIL] RE: In re Grand Jury Subpoenas 19-3-LFWS-V-176 - 179 - CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL
SUBJECT TO FRE 408, FRE 410, AND FED. R. CRIM. P. 11(f)

Chris,

After talking it through on this end, we think Aegis might be the best exemplar- while it is a DPA, it seems like substituting in “Diversion” in most places for “Deferral” would be a good starting point. It sounds like you already have it- but am attaching it and copying the team as I know you are a few hours behind. A couple of things to flag in here. First, there is a whole section on cooperation here, which is not part of our discussions. Also thinking about the breach point and how to best address the concerns you raised. Instead of a thirty day back and forth, we suggest including notice from the government and if contested, then presented to the Court for determination in the same way a supervised release violation might be handled.

I am tied up much of the morning and expect to free up around noon, but can step out for a quick call if it is important to connect sooner.

-Lesley

From: Christopher Clark <clark@csvllp.com>
Sent: Friday, May 19, 2023 12:22 AM
To: Wolf, Lesley (USADE)
Cc: Hudson, Carly (USADE)

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: In re Grand Jury Subpoenas 19-3-LFWS-V-176 - 179 - CONFIDENTIAL

Lesley and Carly,

I've reviewed your email preliminarily with my client. We will work on a draft for discussion. If you can send an exemplar in the morning we can try to conform our draft to it to make things as easy to compare as possible.

Thanks for your continuing efforts,

Chris

Christopher Clark
Partner
Clark Smith Villazor LLP
250 West 55th Street, 30th Floor
New York, New York 10019
O:212-582-4400 **D:**212-377-0853

From: Wolf, Lesley (USADE)
Sent: Thursday, May 18, 2023 10:02:52 PM
To: Christopher Clark <clark@csvgllp.com>
Cc: Hudson, Carly (USADE)
Subject: [EXTERNAL EMAIL] In re Grand Jury Subpoenas 19-3-LFWS-V-176 - 179 - CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL
SUBJECT TO FRE 408, FRE 410, AND FED. R. CRIM. P. 11(f)

Chris,

Thanks for taking the time to speak with us earlier this evening. Below please find what we would consider to be the key terms of any potential diversion agreement. A number of these were essentially included in the NPA draft you sent to us earlier today. As I said during our call, the below list is preliminary in nature and subject to change. We have not discussed or obtained approval for these terms, but are presenting them in attempt to advance our discussions about a potential non-trial resolution and accordingly this communication is subject to the protections of Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(f) and FREs 408 and 410. We understand you will work with your team to incorporate them into a draft agreement and will identify for us anything that you immediately see as problematic. I can also check in the morning to see if there is a useful form we have that will assist in drafting. Please let me know if you want me to directly copy the Latham team-otherwise, I will just send to you to forward along.

(1) Pre-trial Diversion Agreement;

- (2) Agreement to waive indictment (which requires an in-court proceeding-understand your request as to this);
- (3) Information filed charging 922(g)(3) and the tax misdemeanors;
- (4) Agree to dismiss after three years;
- (5) On non-reporting supervision by Probation Office in Delaware during that time;
- (6) Diversion agreement will be public record and filed in the criminal case;
- (7) Diversion agreement will specifically reference both prior drug use and current sobriety;
- (8) There will be some conditions of the diversion agreement, to include no drug use, drug testing, etc.;
- (9) A more comprehensive statement of facts than what was included in your initial draft;
- (10) Lifetime firearms ban (consent to NICS entry that he is prohibited);
- (11) No contradictory public statements regarding guilt;
- (12) Remedies for breaches- remove all the 30-day requirements, but omit that the US Attorney has "sole discretion" to determine whether there is a breach. That leaves it up to the Court akin to a supervised release violation.

Other than 10-12 tomorrow (EST), we are around and available to speak.

-Lesley

This email may contain material that is confidential, privileged and/or attorney work product for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, disclosure, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies including any attachments.

Latham & Watkins LLP or any of its affiliates may monitor electronic communications sent or received by our networks in order to protect our business and verify compliance with our policies and relevant legal requirements. Any personal information contained or referred to within this electronic communication will be processed in accordance with the firm's privacy notices and Global Privacy Standards available at www.lw.com.